









The solution with $\omega = 0$ corresponds with the steady solution $(\partial/\partial t = 0)$ of the equations and represents a steady current in strict geostrophic balance in which

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{gH}}{\mathbf{f}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{\eta}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

The other two solutions correspond with so-called inertiagravity waves, with the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 = f^2 + gHk^2$$

The phase speed of these is

$$c_{p} = \omega / k = \pm \sqrt{[gH + f^{2} / k^{2}]}$$

The waves are dispersive







Dispersion relation for a divergent planetary wave

$$\omega = -\beta k / (k^2 + f_0^2 / gH)$$

- > There is no other solution for ω as there was before.
- In other words, making the geostrophic approximation when calculating v has filtered out in the inertia-gravity wave modes from the equation set, leaving only the low frequency planetary wave mode.
- This is not too surprising since the inertia-gravity waves, by their very essence, are not geostrophically-balanced motions.





vorticity equation
$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \beta v + (\zeta + f) D = 0$$
divergence equation $\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial D}{\partial y} + D^2 - f\zeta - 2J(u, v)$ $+\beta u + g \nabla^2 h = 0$, $\beta = df/dy$ Combine the vorticity equation and the continuity equation to form the potential vorticity equation: $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0$,potential vorticity is $q = (\zeta + f)/h$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \beta v + (\zeta + f) D &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial D}{\partial y} + D^2 - f\zeta - 2J(u, v) + \beta u + g\nabla^2 h = 0 \\ \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
Equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - fv &= -g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + fu &= -g \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + h \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$







$$\operatorname{Ro}[\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \dots - \beta' \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}] - \mathbf{v} = -(g \,\delta \mathbf{H} / \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{U} \mathbf{L}) \,\partial_x \mathbf{h},$$

$$(\delta H / H)[\partial_t h + \dots] + D = 0,$$

- In quasi-geostrophic motion (Ro << 1), the term proportional to Ro can be neglected.
- **≻** Then gδH/ f_0 UL ≈ O(1).
- > We could choose the scale H so that this quantity is exactly unity, i.e. $\delta H = f_0 UL/g$. Then the term $\delta H/H$ may be written

$$\delta H / H = Ro^{-1} Fr.$$





$$\operatorname{Ro}\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} + \ldots + \beta' v + D_{1}\right) = 0$$

$$\nabla^{2}h = \zeta$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \ldots \frac{1}{\mu}D_{1} = 0$$
> These are the quasi-geostrophic forms of the vorticity, divergence and continuity equations.
> Note that the divergence equation has reduced to a diagnostic one relating the fluid depth to the vorticity which is consistent with ζ obtained from
$$\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y} + \beta v + (\zeta + f) D = 0$$

 $b = 0 \text{ at } O(Ro^{0}) \implies \text{ there exists a streamfunction } \psi$ such that $u = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \qquad v = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$ and from $Ro\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \dots - \beta' yv\right) - v = -\frac{g \,\delta H}{f_{0} \,UL} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ $\psi = h + \text{constant (e.g. } h - H).$





It follows that

$$\zeta = \nabla^2 \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D} = \nabla^2 \chi$$

- ➤ This decomposition is general (see Holton, 1972, Appendix), but is not unique :⇒
- One can add equal and opposite flows with zero vorticity and divergence to the two components without affecting the total velocity u.
- Consistent with the quasi-geostrophic scaling, where χ is zero to O(Ro⁰), we scale χ with ULRo so that in nondimensional form

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\psi} + \mathbf{u}_{\chi}$$
 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\psi} + \operatorname{Ro} \mathbf{u}_{\chi}$

Equations
$$Ro[\partial_t \zeta + ... + \beta' v] + D + Ro(\beta' y + \zeta) D = 0,$$

 $Ro[\partial_t D + ... + D^2 - 2J(u, v)] - \zeta(1 + \beta' Ro y)$
 $+ Ro\beta' u + \nabla^2 h = 0,$

 $ightarrow [\partial_t \nabla^2 \psi + (\mathbf{u}_{\psi} + Ro. \mathbf{u}_{\chi}) \cdot \nabla(\nabla^2 \psi) + \beta' \partial_x \psi] + \nabla^2 \chi$
 $+ (\beta' y + \nabla^2 \psi) \nabla^2 \chi = 0,$
and
 $Ro^2[\partial_t \nabla^2 \chi + J(\psi, \nabla^2 \chi)] + Ro^3[\mathbf{u}_{\chi} \cdot \nabla(\nabla^2 \chi) + (\nabla^2 \chi)^2] - 2Ro J(u_{\psi}, v_{\psi}) - 2Ro^2[J(u_{\chi}, v_{\psi}) + J(u_{\psi}, v_{\chi})] - 2Ro^3 J(u_{\chi}, v_{\chi}) - \nabla^2 \psi(1 + \beta' Ro y) + \beta' Ro u_{\psi} + \beta' Ro^2 u_{\chi} + \nabla^2 h = 0.$

The idea is to neglect terms of order Ro² and Ro³ in these equations, together with the equivalent approximation in the continuity equation

 $\partial_t \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{u}\partial_x \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{v}\partial_y \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h}(\partial_x \mathbf{u} + \partial_y \mathbf{v}) = 0,$

In dimensional form they may be written

$$[\partial_{t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla] (\nabla^{2} \psi + f) + (\nabla^{2} \psi + f) \nabla^{2} \chi = 0$$

$$2[(\partial_{xx} \psi) (\partial_{yy} \psi) - (\partial_{xy} \psi)^{2}] + \nabla \cdot (f \nabla \psi) - \nabla^{2} h = 0$$

$$\partial_{t} h + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h + h \nabla^{2} \chi = 0$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\psi} + \mathbf{u}_{\chi}.$$

Note: the divergence equation has been reduced to a diagnostic one relating ψ to h.

Moreover the advection of $\nabla^2 \psi + f$ in

$$[\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla] (\nabla^2 \psi + f) + (\nabla^2 \psi + f) \nabla^2 \chi = 0$$

and of h in $\partial_t h + \bm{u} \cdot \nabla h + h \nabla^2 \chi = 0$

is by the total wind **u** and **not** just the nondivergent component of **u** as in the quasi-geostrophic approximation.

The Balance Equations
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\right) (\nabla^2 \psi + f) + (\nabla^2 \psi + f) \nabla^2 \chi = 0$$
 $2\left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2\right) + \nabla \cdot (f \nabla \psi) - g \nabla^2 h = 0$ $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h + h \nabla^2 \chi = 0$ > These equations are called the balance equations.> They were first discussed by Charney (1955) and Bolin (1955).



Methods of solution

- Unfortunately it is not possible to combine the balance equations into a single equation for y as in the quasigeostrophic case and they are rather difficult to solve.
- Methods of solution are discussed by Gent and McWilliams (1983).
- Note: although the balanced equations were derived by truncating terms of O(Ro²) and higher, the only equation where approximation is made is the divergence equation.
- > => the equations represent an approximate system valid essentially for sufficiently small horizontal divergence.
- > As long as this is the case, the Rossby number is of no importance.

> Elimination of $\nabla^2 \chi$ between

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} \nabla^2 \chi = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0$$

gives the potential vorticity equation:

Thus an alternative form of the balance system is

$$q = \frac{\zeta + f}{h}$$

$$2\left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2\right) + \nabla \cdot (f\nabla\psi) - g\nabla^2 h = 0$$
and h
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h + h\nabla^2 \chi = 0$$
Given q, the first two equations can be regarded as a pair of simultaneous equations for diagnosing ψ and h, subject to appropriate boundary conditions.
Equation $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0$ enables the prediction of q,
while $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h + h\nabla^2 \chi = 0$ may be used to diagnose χ .

