LETKF: Precision and Predictability



Heiner Lange and George C. Craig [1] Hans-Ertel-Centre for Weather Research, Data Assimilation Branch, LMU München heiner.lange@lmu.de, george.craig@lmu.de



1) Limited Predictability

due to nonlinear error growth in time t:



2a) Fine Analysis R4

Filter settings for fine analysis:

- 1. High-resolution (2 km) radar-observations
- 2. 4-km **R**-localization length scale (cutoff at 14 km), fine analysis grid
- 3. **R**-inflation factor 4
- 4. 5 minute assimilation interval

Fine analysis properties:

• Collapse of ensemble onto observed storms

2b) Coarse Analysis R16

Filter settings for coarse analysis:

- 1. Coarse-grained (8 km) radar-observations
- 2. 16-km **R**-localization length scale (cutoff at 58 km), coarse analysis grid
- 3. **R**-inflation factor 16
- 4. 20 minute assimilation interval

Coarse analysis properties:

• Position of storms roughly correct

Question:

Is an ensemble forecast (a) from a fine analysis more precise than (b) from a coarse analysis?

2) Analysis Precision



Nature Run: convective system consisting of multiple convective cells



a) Fine Analysis R4: linear combination of forecast members whose single convective cells fit the observations locally

- No spurious clouds
- Small error and variance

- Spurious clouds allowed
- Larger error and variance

3) Results: Nature vs. Analysis and Forecast Ensemble Means

After 3 hours of cycled LETKF-assimilation (14 - 17 UTC):





b) Coarse Analysis R16: linear combination of forecast members whose larger scale *convective systems* fit the observations roughly, on a coarser scale

Idealized Convective Setup

Nature Run and Ensemble (COSMO):

- 400 x 400 km, $\Delta x = 2$ km periodic lateral BC
- Random storm positions, triggered by noise and radiative forcing
- CAPE = 2200 J/kg
 storm lifetimes ~ 6 hours

Simulated Doppler-Radar Observations:

• U-wind (\sim radial wind)

4) Analysis and Forecast RMSE



• Reflectivity, 0-dBZ-Reflectivity

LETKF-Setup

DWD implementation [2] in KENDA (Kilometer-scale ENsemble Data Assimilation)

- 50 Ensemble Members
- \bullet Localization of obs. error cov. matrix ${\bf R}$
- Analysis grid on model resolution, optionally coarsed analysis grid with interpolation of analysis weights afterwards
- Hydrostatic relaxation of increments

5) Analysis Distributions



Analysis ensemble values in precipitation cores of the Nature Run 01 at 17 UTC (cf. Box 3)

6) Conclusions

- Analysis precision advantage of R4 is lost within 1-3 hours compared to R16
- For convective forecasts beyond 3 hours, the highest possible analysis precision might not be necessary or helpful due to the limited predictability

References

- H. Lange and G. C. Craig (2013): On the Benefits of a High-Resolution Analysis for Convective Data Assimilation of Radar Observations using a Local Ensemble Kalman Filter. In prep. for MWR.
- [2] Hunt, B. R., Kostelich, E. J., and Szunyogh, I. (2007): Efficient Data Assimilation for Spatiotemporal Chaos: A Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter. Physica D, 203:112-126